



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: THE EXAMINER CONTACTED APPLICANTS' REPRESENTATIVE TO DISCUSS THE WORDING OF CLAIM 1, AS AMENDED ON 8/11/2005. THE PROBLEM WITH THE AMENDED CLAIM LANGUAGE IS: AS CURRENTLY CONSTITUTED CLAIM 1 RECITES A STRUCTURED FLUID COMPOSITION COMPRISING (INTER ALIA) "PARTICLES SELECTED FROM THE GROUP CONSISTING OF AT LEAST ONE LIGHT ABSORBING PARTICLE AND OPTIONALLY A NON LIGHT ABSORBING PARTICLE".

THE AFORESAID RECITATION THUS ADMITS A STRUCTURED FLUID COMPOSITION CONSISTING OF ONE (OR MORE) LIGHT ABSORBING PARTICLES ONLY, WHICH IS INCONSISTENT WITH THE INSTANT SPECIFICATION.

IT WAS AGREED THAT THIS WAS INDEED THE CASE, AND THAT THE PHRASE " "PARTICLES SELECTED FROM THE GROUP CONSISTING OF AT LEAST ONE LIGHT ABSORBING PARTICLE AND OPTIONALLY A NON LIGHT ABSORBING PARTICLE" SHOULD BE AMENDED TO RECITE "PARTICLES SELECTED FROM THE GROUP CONSISTING OF LIGHT ABSORBING PARTICLES, AND NON-LIGHT ABSORBING OR VERY LOW LIGHT ABSORBING PARTICLES; WHEREIN SAID NON-LIGHT ABSORBING OR VERY LOW LIGHT ABSORBING PARTICLES ABSORBING PARTICLES HELP TO CREATE A STRUCTURED COLLOIDAL SUSPENSION" AS EXPLAINED ON PP. 9-10 OF APPLICANTS' SPECIFICATION.

IT WAS FURTHERED AGREED THAT THE NECESSARY CHANGES TO CLAIM 1 WOULD BE ENTERED UNDER AN EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT.

ADDITIONALLY, ALTHOUGH CLAIM 2, DRAWN TO INVENTION(S) NONELECTED WITHOUT TRAVERSE, WAS CANCELED BY APPLICANT ON 5/02/2005 ; CLAIM 2 STILL APPEARS ON THE "LISTING OF CLAIMS" FOR THIS APPLICATION. IT WAS AGREED THAT CLAIM 2 WOULD ALSO BE FORMALLY CANCELED UNDER THE ABOVE-NOTED EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT..